

Ethical Code

Rivista Italiana di Public Management – Studi e Proposte per Innovare la Pubblica Amministrazione (RIPM), to guarantee transparency and an ethical conduct in all phases of research, from when papers are conceived until they are disseminated, has adopted this Ethical Code, with which all involved players – authors, the managing director, the editors, the editorial team, and the reviewers – are required to comply. The RIPM ethical code meets the standards indicated by COPE in the [Code of Conduct and Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors](#). All parties involved in RIPM’s activities are required to comply with the principles of fairness, impartiality, and confidentiality of data.

Decision on publication

Publication of each paper is dependent upon the judgment of the editorial team, by double-blind peer review.

Submitted articles may not be offered at the same time to other publications, nor may they have been previously published (periodicals, blogs, online communication platforms, etc.).

For the purposes of the paper’s acceptance and its publication, the authors must use the template and the general editing indications when writing the article, as are present in it; they must also comply scrupulously with the [RIPM editorial guidelines](#).

Duties of the editorial team

The editorial team assesses the proposed papers exclusively on the basis of their scientific content, with no discrimination of the authors’ race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, ethnic origin, nationality, or political orientation.

The decision of the members of the editorial team to accept or reject a paper for publication must be based only on the scientific relevance of the theme dealt with, the text’s originality and clarity, and the validity of the study and its relevance to the research mission of the periodical and of the editorial project as a whole.

Authors must always be given the opportunity to respond to any non-positive judgments of their papers. The periodical has no preclusions with regard to studies proposing negative results. Moreover, its duties include that of communication as to corrections, clarifications, and retractions.

Ethical Code

Referees' duties

Peer review supports the editorial team in making decisions as to whether the papers comply with the periodical's standards, and the author in improving his or her proposed paper overall. The peer review procedure must be impartial, free of prejudice, and detailed, and guarantee confidentiality of data and information relating to the paper and the authors. All the phases in the review process must be completed using the protocol established by the publication's editorial practice, in order to ensure the impartiality of the final decision and guarantee that the sent materials remain confidential throughout the assessment process.

The referees undertake to precisely indicate the references of fundamental works that might have been neglected by the author. The referee must also report to the editorial team any cases of the received text's similarity to or overlapping with other works known to them. Referees who find out they cannot complete the reading by the required deadlines must immediately inform the editorial team, in order to guarantee the refereeing process.

Authors' duties

The authors are required to comply with principles of fairness and transparency. The authors must therefore make available the sources or data upon which the field of research is based, and clearly and transparently emphasize the sources used. The authors must guarantee the absolute originality of the texts sent and, in the case of using the work of others, that this work is appropriately indicated and cited.

Authorship of the work must be properly attributed and all those that have made a significant contribution to the paper's development must be indicated as co-authors.

All the authors must indicate in advance any type of conflict of interest or other type of conflict that might be interpreted in such a way as to influence the results or interpretation of their work.

All sources of financial support for the project must be indicated.

When an author discovers errors or imprecisions in his or her published work, he or she is required to make this promptly known and to collaborate with the periodical towards correcting the text in a timely fashion.